

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,512	03/30/2004	John Anderson Campbell	EMC04-04(04029)	3214
22468 CHAPIN & HI	7590 10/04/2007 JANG L.L.C.		EXAM	INER
WESTBOROL	03/30/2004 John Anderson Campbell E 7590 10/04/2007	PANNALA, SATH	PANNALA, SATHYANARAYAN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

m

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/812,512	CAMPBELL, JOHN ANDERSON			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
1) <u>Sathyanarayan Pannala</u> . (3)					
(2) <u>Paul P. Kriz</u> . (4)					
Date of Interview: 25 September 2007.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 32-37.	·				
Identification of prior art discussed: Zahavi et al. (US Patent 6,886,020) and Therrien et al. (USPA Pub. 2004/0093361A1).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant briefly explained the current invention</u> . <u>Discussed and compared claims 1 and 32-37 with reference to prior art. No agreement was reached</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
•		•			
•	•				
	SP	envala.			
	SATHYANA	RAYAN P ANNALA RY EXAMIN ER			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signs				

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

CHAPIN IP LAW, L.L.C.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 0.6 2007

WESTBOROUGH OFFICE PARK 1700 WEST PARK DRIVE WESTBOROUGH, MASSACHUSETTS 01581 TELEPHONE: (508) 616-9660 FACSIMILE: (508) 616-9661

> 6 September ∕4, 2007

From:	PAUL P. KR	ız, Esq.	Our Reference No.:	EMC04-04(04029)
	Please deliver the following	Pages, Including Cover	Page to the following F	Recipient
<u>To</u> :	Recipient:	Company Facsimile No.:	Company <u>Name</u> :	Company <u>Telephone No.</u> :
	Examiner Sathyanarayan	571-273-8300	U.S.P.T.O.	
				•
·				.:

Comments / Special Instructions

Application Number: 10/812,512

Dear Examiner:

We would like to set up an interview to discuss the rejection of claims in the above-referenced patent application. The purpose of the conference call would be to get a better understanding of the specific techniques in the cited reference that are being used to reject the claimed invention. We believe the rejections are improper. In the reply to the last office action, we presented reasons why specific language in the claims distinguished the claimed invention over the cited prior art. These reasons we presented in our last reply were not addressed in the advisory action. We feel that a phone conference at this point will help expedite prosecution of the present application and avoid an appeal.

Thus, as an agenda, we propose discussing specific techniques in prior art used to reject claims 1, 13-15, 32, 33, 36, and 37. Providing a clarification of the rejection would be helpful.

Best Regards, Paul P. Kriz, Esq. Reg. No.: 45,752

Facsimile Number is 608.616.9661. If there are problems during this transmission, please call 508.616.9660.

THE INFORMATION IN THIS TRANSMITTAL IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE RECIPIENT(S) LISTED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE NEITHER THE INTENDED RECIPIENT(S) NOR A PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DELIVERY OF THIS TRANSMITTAL TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT(S), YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY UNAUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS TRANSMITTAL IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMITTAL IN PROHIBITED US IMMEDIATELY AT 508.388.9800 AND RETURN THE TRANSMITTAL TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS, MARKED TO THE ATTENTION OF THE SENDING ATTORNEY. THANK YOU.

SEP 0 6 2007

PTOL-413A (07-07)
Approved for use through 09/39/2007, DMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

15086169661

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form					
Application No.: 10/812,512 Examiner: Pannala, S	First Named Applicant:_ Art Unit: 2164	John A. C. Status of Applic	ampbell ation: Pendin	8	
Tentative Participants: (1) Paul P. Kriz	(2) Barry W.	Chapin	·		
(3)	(4)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	,	
Proposed Date of Interview: 09/25/07 Proposed Time: 2:00:p-m-(AM/F					
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [] Telephonic (2) [] Persona	i (3) [] Video C	onference			
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrate If yes, provide brief description:	d: []YES	NO [X]			
Issues To Be Discussed					
Issues Claims/		Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s 1,13-15,32	Prior		•		
(1) Rej 33,36,377	Art see office action	[]	[]	[]	
(2)		[]	[]	[]	
(3)		[]	[]	[]	
(4)		D.	Ĺ	[]	
[] Continuation Sheet Attached	·. ————	•			
Brief Description of Arguments to be					
claims include limitations	not taught or sug	gested by p	rior art		
				·	
An interview was conducted on the a NOTE: This form should be completed (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from interview. Therefore, applicant is advise as soon as possible.	by applicant and submitt	ed to the examin nt's failure to su	er in advance o bmit a written r	ecord of this	
Applicant/Applicant's Representative	•	Exam	iner/SPE Signa	ture	
Paul P Kriz Rag Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or I	Representative				
45,752 Registration Number, if appli	icable				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to the (and by the USPTO to pracies) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 123 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application forms to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FRES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.